

**Joint statement on building trustworthy data governance frameworks to encourage
development of innovative and privacy-protective AI**

11 February 2025

1. Artificial intelligence (AI) presents immense opportunities for the benefit of humanity, innovation in science, the economy, and society as a whole. AI also poses significant risks with respect to the protection of fundamental rights such as data protection and privacy, but it also poses risks of discrimination, misinformation and hallucination that are often caused by the inappropriate processing of data.
2. We recognize the need to fully cultivate public trust and harness the transformative benefits AI could bring. We recall that AI should be developed and deployed in accordance with data protection and privacy rules and other norms. This includes embedding privacy-by-design principles into AI systems from the initial planning stage and implementing robust internal data governance frameworks. These frameworks should incorporate technical and procedural safeguards for effective management and mitigation of risks throughout the entire lifecycle of an AI system.
3. Moreover, we recognize that in the current environment surrounding AI development and deployment, data processing has become exceedingly complex. Indeed:
 - a. It is developed and deployed across many different sectors, including health, public services, public security, human resources, and education;
 - b. It involves a great number of stakeholders scattered all over the world and complex value chains, including dataset creators, model providers, dataset and model hosting platforms, integrators, annotators, system deployers, and end-users;
 - c. It operates at large scale with AI technologies necessitating vast amounts of data that are at the core of these systems;
 - d. It implies complex data processing that poses significant challenges for its control and increases the needs for transparency to foster the protection of privacy and other fundamental rights; and

- e. It evolves at a very fast pace with major scientific and technological breakthroughs being recorded on a daily basis.
- 4. Citizens' and businesses' need for answers and legal certainty is therefore increasingly pressing in order to enable the development of AI within trustworthy data governance frameworks. At the same time, the application of rules should provide a sufficient degree of flexibility for various innovative efforts to take place consistently with the protection of privacy and personal data. We recognize therefore the importance of supporting players in the AI ecosystem in their efforts to comply with data protection and privacy rules and help them reconcile innovation with respect for individuals' rights.

Highlighting data protection authorities' leading role in shaping data governance to address AI's evolving challenges, we commit to the following:

- 5. To foster our shared understanding of lawful grounds for processing data in the context of AI training in our respective jurisdictions. Clear standards and requirements should be developed to ensure that AI training data is processed lawfully, whether based on consent, contractual necessity, legitimate interest, or other legal justifications. In doing so, attention should be paid to various relevant factors, including the specific purposes of AI development, the characteristics of the requisite data, the reasonable expectation of data subjects, and associated risk mitigation strategies.
- 6. To exchange information and establish a shared understanding of proportionate safety measures based on rigorous scientific and evidence-based assessments and tailored to diversity of use cases. The relevance of these measures should be regularly updated to keep pace with evolving AI data processing technologies and practices.
- 7. To continuously monitor both the technical and societal implications of AI and to leverage the expertise and experience of Data Protection Authorities and other relevant entities, including NGOs, public authorities, academia, and businesses, in AI-related policy matters when possible.
- 8. To reduce legal uncertainties and secure space for innovation where data processing is essential for the development and deployment of AI. This may include institutional measures, such as regulatory sandboxes, as well as tools for sharing best practices. These

measures and tools should be grounded in public trust and be consistent with principles of privacy and data protection.

9. To strengthen our interactions with relevant authorities, including those in charge of competition, consumer protection and intellectual property, to facilitate consistency and foster synergies between different applicable regulatory frameworks to AI systems, tools and applications. Dialogues involving diverse players in the AI ecosystem should also be encouraged.

Haksoo Ko

Chairperson

Personal Information Protection

Commission

Korea



Marie-Laure Denis

President

Commission Nationale de l'Informatique

et des Libertés

France




John Edwards

Commissioner

Information Commissioner's Office

United Kingdom



Dale Sunderland

Data Protection Commissioner

Ireland



Carly Kind

Privacy Commissioner


Office of the Australian Information

Commissioner

Australia


Hielke Hijmans

Autorité de protection des données
Commissioner
Belgium




Borislav Bozhinov

Комисия за защита на личните данни
Chairman
Bulgaria



Philippe Dufresne

Office of the Privacy Commissioner of Canada
Privacy Commissioner
Canada



Zdravko Vukić

Agencija za zaštitu osobnih podataka
Director
Croatia





Heljä-Tuulia Pihamaa

Tietosuojavaltuutetun toimisto
Deputy Commissioner
Finland

Andreas Hartl

Bundesbeauftragter für den Datenschutz und
die Informationsfreiheit
Deputy Commissioner
Germany




Ada CHUNG Lai-ling

Office of the Privacy Commissioner for
Personal Data
Privacy Commissioner for Personal Data
Hong Kong, China

Ginevra Cerrina Feroni


Garante per la protezione dei dati personali
Vice President
Italy



Aleid Wolfsen
Autoriteit Persoonsgegevens
Chair
Netherlands



Michael Webster
Office of the Privacy Commissioner
Privacy Commissioner
New Zealand




Alain Herrmann
Commission nationale pour la protection des
données
Commissioner
Luxembourg




Ken Chongwei YANG
Personal Data Protection Bureau
Director
Macao SAR, China



Lorenzo Cotino Hueso
Agencia Española de Protección de Datos
President
Spain



Mirosław Wróblewski
Urząd Ochrony Danych Osobowych
President
Poland



Eric Leijonram
Integritetsskyddsmyndigheten
Director-General
Sweden

